

PhD Student Mid-Term Evaluation - Report Assessment

| |
|--|
| Person completing the form |
| Function in the Committee: CHAIRMAN |
| |
| Academic title, academic degree, name and surname |
| |
| Institution (University/Faculty/or equivalent for institutes or other scientific entities) |
| |

| |
|---|
| PhD Student data |
| Name and surname |
| |
| Register number |
| |
| The subject of the dissertation |
| |
| Discipline |
| |
| Implementation Doctorate Programme (YES/NO) |
| |
| Academic title, academic degree, name and surname of the supervisor |
| |
| Academic title, academic degree, name and surname of the second supervisor * |
| |
| Academic title, academic degree, name and surname of the assistant supervisor * |
| |

* if applicable

| Progress of research works assessment |
|--|
| 1. Description of the research problem being solved within the dissertation with reference to the current state of knowledge (max.1500 characters including spaces) |
| |
| 2. The concept of the research problem solution, its innovative aspects, research hypotheses (max.2000 characters including spaces) |
| |
| 3. Research methodology (research implementation methods, techniques and tools) (max.1500 characters including spaces) |
| |
| 4. Description of the research activities realised so far in accordance with the IRP, main results (max.2500 characters including spaces) |
| |
| 5. Plan for conducting further research within the dissertation (max.1500 characters including spaces) |
| |

| Scientific achievements assessment (max. 2500 characters including spaces) |
|--|
| |

| PhD student general assessment |
|--|
| Proposed final assessment (POSITIVE/NEGATIVE): |
| |
| Argumentation |
| |

| Date and signature |
|--|
| I confirm there are no factors which may have affected the objectivity of the assessment |
| |

Explanatory notes:

Progress of research works assessment

Address each of the five categories separately. The description should provide the PhD student with guidelines on what to change or include in further research.

Scientific achievements assessment

Address all the categories jointly. The description should provide the PhD student with guidelines on what to change or include in further research.

PhD student general assessment

- Proposed final assessment (POSITIVE/NEGATIVE):
Choose one type of assessment. The proposed assessment is not final and may be changed after interviewing the PhD student and clarifying disputable issues.
- Argumentation:
Comment on the proposed final assessment. It is desirable to provide the PhD student with general guidelines on how to improve the quality of their work. The argumentation may be crucial in the case of a negative assessment, as it allows the PhD student to address negative aspects during the interview with the Committee. Strengths and weakness of the current state of the dissertation may also be indicated.